



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/631,813

08/01/2003

Xiaomang Zhang

24500-000006/US

2019

30593

7590

10/24/2008

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.

P.O. BOX 8910

RESTON, VA 20195

EXAMINER

WANG, HARRIS C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2439

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/24/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/631,813	Applicant(s) ZHANG ET AL.	
	Examiner HARRIS C. WANG	Art Unit 2439	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 July 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Regarding the 112 rejection, the Applicant has amended to include wherein the first and second response request ID are identification identifying a response request. This sufficiently described the terms "response request ID" and "card company ID" for the record. Therefore the 112 rejection is withdrawn. The amended claims are consistent with the Examiner's earlier interpretation of "response request ID" so the limitation is rejected on the same grounds.

The Applicant has argued that "neither Schneier nor Azuma teach that both encryption and decryption are performed using the same secret key as claim 1 requires (Page 14 of Remarks)." The Applicant elaborates "Further, Applicants respectfully submit that even though Schneier teaches encryption with a private key, there would be no motivation to modify Azuma with the private key. As noted in Azuma, the purpose of the system of Azuma is to provide higher confidentiality...However, modifying Azuma to encrypt with the private key lowers the security of the system (pg. 15 Remarks)."

The Examiner in Non-Final Rejection wrote "Azuma does not explicitly teach the electric seal having a second encryption section for encrypting, based on the secret key, the random number decoded based on the secret key, and a second output for outputting the random number encrypted based on the secret key (pg. 6)." The

Art Unit: 2439

Examiner then wrote "Schneier teaches encrypting using a private key and decrypting using a public key (pg 6)."

Then the Examiner combined the two references to form a KSR type rejection (The claim would have been obvious because the substitution of one known method (encrypting a number using a private key and decrypting using a public key, as described by Azuma) for another (encrypting a number using a public key and decrypting using a private key, as described by Schneier) would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. In both cases the first party has one part of the key pair, and encrypts a random number, where only someone who possessed the second part of the key pair could recover the random number. In this way, the authentication occurs, because only a holder of the other part of the key pair could recover the random number. (Pg. 7).)

Therefore the Applicants arguments that the references in combination did not teach the claimed elements are found to be unpersuasive. Furthermore regarding the Applicants arguments concerning motivation, the Examiner responds that KSR forecloses the argument that a specific teaching, suggestion, or motivation is required to support a finding of obviousness. See the recent Board decision Ex parte Smith, -- USPQ2d--, slip op. at 20, (Bd. Pat. App. & Interf. June 25, 2007)(citing KSR, 82 USPQ2d at 1396)

The remaining arguments are dependent on the above and are rebutted in the same way.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-8, 11-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Azuma in view of Schneier

Regarding Claims 1, 4-7, 11-14,

Azuma (6704608) teaches an authentication system comprising:

an IC card, and an electronic seal, wherein: the IC card includes:

a random number generation section for generating a random number, a prescribed key memory section for storing a prescribed key, a first encryption section for encrypting the generated random number based on the prescribed key, and a first output section for outputting the random number encrypted based on the prescribed key; (*"The IC card generates a random number M, encrypts it using the public key Mb, and sends an encrypted numeral WM to the second terminal apparatus"* Column 19, lines 66-67, Column 20, line 1) The Examiner interprets the prescribed key as a public key. The Examiner interprets that the public key must be inherently stored in a memory section before encrypting

Art Unit: 2439

the random number with the public key. The Examiner interprets the output section as the section that outputs the encrypted random number to the second terminal device.

Azuma further teaches an electronic seal which includes:

a second input section for inputting the random number encrypted based on the prescribed key, a secret key memory section for storing a secret key related to the prescribed key, a second decoding section for decoding, based on the secret key, the random number encrypted based on the prescribed key; (*"The second terminal apparatus decrypts the numeral WM, using a secret key, encrypts it using a public key to obtain the numeral WN, and sends the numeral WN to the IC card."* Column 20, lines 1-4) The Examiner interprets the secret key memory section, the section that stores the secret key used to encrypt the numeral WM.

Azuma further teaches the IC card further includes:

a comparison section for comparing the random number generated by the random number generation section and the random number decoded based on the prescribed key; and the IC card and the electronic seal mutually exchange data for performing authentication. (*"The IC card receives from the second terminal apparatus the numeral WN, obtains a numeral N by decrypting the numeral WN, and judges whether the numeral n matches the numeral M. When they do not match, the IC card abnormally ends the mutual authentication process. When they match, the IC card sends a confirmation command to the second terminal apparatus in step S82 that ends the mutual authentication"* Column 20, lines 5-11)

The Examiner interprets the first input section as the section that receives the random number from the terminal. The Examiner interprets the first decoding section as the section

Art Unit: 2439

that decrypts the numeral WN. The Examiner interprets the comparison section as the judging unit that judges whether the numeral n matches the numeral M.

Azuma teaches a mobile device including an electronic seal.

("the second terminal apparatus is used in a machine for payment, such as a cash dispenser"

Column 17, lines 5-6)

Azuma does not explicitly teach the electric seal having a second encryption section for encrypting, based on the secret key, the random number decoded based on the secret key, and a second output section for outputting the random number encrypted based on the secret key and the IC card having a first input section for inputting the random number encrypted based on the secret key, a first decoding section for decoding, based on the prescribed key, the random number encrypted based on the secret key.

Rather Azuma teaches that the electric seal encrypts based on a public key, and outputs the random number encrypted based on the public key and the IC card having a first input section for inputting the random number encrypted based on the public key, a first decoding section for decoding based on the private key, the random number encrypted based on the private key.

Schneier teaches encrypting using a private key and decrypting using a public key. ("Alice encrypts the document with her private key, thereby signing the document...Bob decrypts the document with Alice's public key, thereby verifying the signature" pg. 37 of Applied Cryptography, 2nd Edition, 1996)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Azuma to have the electronic seal perform the method of encrypting

Art Unit: 2439

using the private key and the IC card decode using the public key, as described by Schneier.

The claim would have been obvious because the substitution of one known method (encrypting a number using a private key and decrypting using a public key, as described by Azuma) for another (encrypting a number using a public key and decrypting using a private key, as described by Schneier) would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. In both cases the first party has one part of the key pair, and encrypts a random number, where only someone who possessed the second part of the key pair could recover the random number. In this way, the authentication occurs, because only a holder of the other part of the key pair could recover the random number.

Regarding Claim 2, 8

Azuma teaches an electronic seal according to claim 1, wherein:

when the input section inputs a first response request ID (*"The second terminal apparatus is used in a machine for payment...a touch panel for receiving an input of an identification number of an IC card owner"* Column 17, lines 5-6, 11-12)

the electronic seal further includes a response request ID memory section for storing a second response request ID, and a comparison section for

Art Unit: 2439

comparing the decoded first response request ID and the second response request ID, (*"The host apparatus has a database which stores a plurality of entries of IC card code numbers in correspondence with a plurality of pieces of bio-information, so that the host apparatus can judge whether the card owner is proper"* Column 18, lines 60-64)

and when the decoded first response request ID matches the second response request ID, the encryption section encrypts the decoded random number wherein the first and second response request ID are identification identifying a response request ("When a combination of the bio-information read by the sensor and the typed code number is found in the database, the controller 73 goes to the process in the flowchart shown in Figure 24" Column 19, lines 2-5)

Azuma does not explicitly teach the first response request ID encrypted by the IC card based on the prescribed key, the output section of the IC card outputs the encrypted response request ID to the electronic seal, and the decoding section of the electronic seal decodes the input first response request ID based on the secret key.

While Azuma teaches encrypting and decrypting a random value, Azuma sends the ID without encryption. However because encrypting a random number is analogous with encrypting an ID and the IC already possess all the features described in order to encrypt and decrypt an ID with a secret key (encryptor, decryptor, secret key), without any modification to the system one of ordinary skill could encrypt the ID sent by the IC card with a public key, and the terminal would subsequently decrypt the ID with the secret key.

Art Unit: 2439

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to encrypt the ID sent by the IC card described in Azuma with a public key, and decode the ID based on the secret key.

The prior art Azuma, teaches all the elements of the claim (public key, private key, ID) one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods (encrypting with a public key, decrypting with a private key) with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Claims 3, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Azuma in view of Schneier further in view of Reece (20030150915).

Regarding Claim 3,

Azuma teaches an electronic seal according to claim 1, wherein: the secret key memory section stores a plurality of secret keys.

Azuma does not explicitly teach that the secret keys respectively corresponding to a plurality of card company ID numbers, and when the input section inputs a card company ID number, the secret key memory section specifies the secret key corresponding to the input card company ID number among the plurality of secret

Art Unit: 2439

keys.

Reece (20030150915) teaches a smart card that contains a Card Holder Data unit (Figure 1, 1320) which in turn contains Card Holder company ID number. (*“CH company ID number, Paragraph [0278]”*).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify assigning a secret key per each IC card as taught by Azuma with assigning a secret key corresponding to input card company ID number.

The motivation is that Reece teaches that company ID number is a well known way to represent and identify the Card Holder Data Unit. One of ordinary skill would have been able to modify the system of Azuma to correspond a plurality of secret keys with corresponding card company ID numbers.

Regarding Claim 9,

Azuma teaches an IC card according to claim 6. Azuma does not explicitly teach further comprising a card company ID number memory section for storing a card company ID number, wherein the output section outputs the card company ID number.

Reece (20030150915) teaches a smart card that contains a Card Holder Data unit (Figure 1, 1320) which in turn contains Card Holder company ID number. (*“CH company ID number, Paragraph [0278]”*).

Art Unit: 2439

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the IC card to comprise a card company ID number memory section for storing a card company ID number.

The motivation is that Reece provides a way of storing a company ID number in an IC card.

Regarding Claim 10,

Azuma teaches an IC card according to claim 6. Azuma does not explicitly teach wherein the prescribed key memory section stores a plurality of prescribed keys respectively corresponding to a plurality of card company ID numbers.

Reece (20030150915) teaches a smart card that contains a Card Holder Data unit (Figure 1, 1320) which in turn contains Card Holder company ID number. (*"CH company ID number, Paragraph [0278]"*).

Because Reece teaches that company ID number is a well known way to represent and identify the Card Holder Data Unit, And Azuma teaches using public/private key pairs to provide authentication, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify assigning a secret key per each IC card as taught by Azuma with assigning a secret key corresponding to input card company ID number.

The motivation is to tie the key to the identity of the card company ID for the purposes of authentication.

Claims 15, 18-19, 20-21, 24, 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Azuma in view of Schneier further in view of Yu (6067621).

Regarding Claims 15-16, 18-19, 20-22, 24, 25-27,

Azuma (6704608) teaches an authentication system comprising:

an IC card, and an electronic seal, wherein: the IC card includes:

a random number generation section for generating a random number, a prescribed key memory section for storing a prescribed key, a first encryption section for encrypting the generated random number based on the prescribed key, and a first output section for outputting the random number encrypted based on the prescribed key; (*"The IC card generates a random number M , encrypts it using the public key Mb , and sends an encrypted numeral WM to the second terminal apparatus"* Column 19, lines 66-67, Column 20, line 1) The Examiner interprets the prescribed key as a public key. The Examiner interprets that the public key must be inherently stored in a memory section before encrypting the random number with the public key. The Examiner interprets the output section as the section that outputs the encrypted random number to the second terminal device.

wherein the first and second response request ID are identification identifying a response request

Azuma further teaches an electronic seal which includes:

Art Unit: 2439

a second input section for inputting the random number encrypted based on the prescribed key, a secret key memory section for storing a secret key related to the prescribed key, a second decoding section for decoding, based on the secret key, the random number encrypted based on the prescribed key; (*"The second terminal apparatus decrypts the numeral WM, using a secret key, encrypts it using a public key to obtain the numeral WN, and sends the numeral WN to the IC card."* Column 20, lines 1-4) The Examiner interprets the secret key memory section, the section that stores the secret key used to encrypt the numeral WM.

Azuma further teaches the IC card further includes:

a comparison section for comparing the random number generated by the random number generation section and the random number decoded based on the prescribed key; and the IC card and the electronic seal mutually exchange data for performing authentication. (*"The IC card receives from the second terminal apparatus the numeral WN, obtains a numeral N by decrypting the numeral WN, and judges whether the numeral n matches the numeral M. When they do not match, the IC card abnormally ends the mutual authentication process. When they match, the IC card sends a confirmation command to the second terminal apparatus in step S82 that ends the mutual authentication"* Column 20, lines 5-11)

The Examiner interprets the first input section as the section that receives the random number from the terminal. The Examiner interprets the first decoding section as the section that decrypts the numeral WN. The Examiner interprets the comparison section as the judging unit that judges whether the numeral n matches the numeral M.

Azuma teaches a mobile device including an electronic seal.

Art Unit: 2439

("the second terminal apparatus is used in a machine for payment, such as a cash dispenser"

Column 17, lines 5-6)

Azuma does not explicitly teach the electric seal having a second encryption section for encrypting, based on the secret key, the random number decoded based on the secret key, and a second output section for outputting the random number encrypted based on the secret key and the IC card having a first input section for inputting the random number encrypted based on the secret key, a first decoding section for decoding, based on the prescribed key, the random number encrypted based on the secret key.

Rather Azuma teaches that the electric seal encrypts based on a public key, and outputs the random number encrypted based on the public key and the IC card having a first input section for inputting the random number encrypted based on the public key, a first decoding section for decoding based on the private key, the random number encrypted based on the private key.

Schneier teaches encrypting using a private key and decrypting using a public key. ("Alice encrypts the document with her private key, thereby signing the document...Bob decrypts the document with Alice's public key, thereby verifying the signature" pg. 37 of Applied Cryptography, 2nd Edition, 1996)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Azuma to have the electronic seal perform the method of encrypting using the private key and the IC card decode using the public key, as described by Schneier.

The claim would have been obvious because the substitution of one known method (encrypting a number using a private key and decrypting using a public key, as described by Azuma) for another (encrypting a number using a public key and decrypting using a private key, as described by Schneier) would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. In both cases the first party has one part of the key pair, and encrypts a random number, where only someone who possessed the second part of the key pair could recover the random number. In this way, the authentication occurs, because only a holder of the other part of the key pair could recover the random number.

Azuma does not explicitly teach performing a one way hash function on the value output from the symmetrical key cipher algorithm, changing the random number into a predetermined value and storing it in the terminal...The one-time password is verified then by receiving the one-time password generated from the terminal, through a predetermined communication medium, reading the secret key and the random number stored in the server, performing a symmetrical key cipher algorithm using the secret key and the random number as an input, performing a one way hash function on the value output from the symmetrical key algorithm and authenticating a user, if the predetermined format is the same as the received one time password, and not authenticating the user if not the same

Yu teaches a first hash operation section for performing a hash operation using an IC card's secret key and a random number as an input, *"performing a one way hash*

Art Unit: 2439

function on the value output from the symmetrical key cipher algorithm, changing the random number into a predetermined value and storing it in the terminal...The one-time password is verified then by receiving the one-time password generated from the terminal, through a predetermined communication medium, reading the secret key and the random number stored in the server, performing a symmetrical key cipher algorithm using the secret key and the random number as an input, performing a one way hash function on the value output from the symmetrical key algorithm...and authenticating a user, if the predetermined format is the same as the received one time password, and not authenticating the user if not the same.” (Column 4, lines 44-65)

Azuma already teaches encryption with public/private keys. Hashing is a well known method of encryption.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Azuma to include a hash function, to generate a one-time password using a hash function to hash an input random number and secret key for the purpose of authentication.

The motivation is that Yu teaches a well known way of authenticating using a hash function, a secret key of an IC card and a random number, and the combination of Azuma with Yu would improve the security.

Azuma and Yu do not teach not explicitly teach a first hash operation section for performing a hash operation using the user's inherent information stored in the first user's inherent information memory section and the generated random number so as to output a first hash operation result, a second hash operation section for performing a hash operation using the user's inherent information stored in the second user's

Art Unit: 2439

inherent memory section and the decoded random number so as to output a second hash operation result, or a comparison section for comparing the first hash operation result and the decoded second has operation result.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Yu to instead hash a user's inherent information and random number instead of a secret key and a random number.

The motivation is that the system of Azuma already teaches inherent information, and one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to use the inherent information instead of a secret key when generating the hash with predictable results.

Regarding Claims 16, 22

Azuma and Yu teach an electronic seal according to claim 15, wherein Azuma teaches:

when the input section inputs a first response request ID (*"The second terminal apparatus is used in a machine for payment...a touch panel for receiving an input of an identification number of an IC card owner"* Column 17, lines 5-6, 11-12)

the electronic seal further includes a response request ID memory section for storing a second response request ID, and a comparison section for comparing the decoded first response request ID and the second response request ID, (*"The host apparatus has a database which stores a plurality of entries of IC card code numbers in correspondence with a plurality of pieces of bio-information, so that the host apparatus can judge whether the card owner is proper"* Column 18, lines 60-64)

and when the decoded first response request ID matches the second response

Art Unit: 2439

request ID, the encryption section encrypts the decoded random number (“When a combination of the bio-information read by the sensor and the typed code number is found in the database, the controller 73 goes to the process in the flowchart shown in Figure 24” Column 19, lines 2-5)

Azuma and Yu do not explicitly teach the first response request ID encrypted by the IC card based on the prescribed key, the output section of the IC card outputs the encrypted response request ID to the electronic seal, and the decoding section of the electronic seal decodes the input first response request ID based on the secret key.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to encrypt the ID sent by the IC card described in Azuma with a secret key, and decode the ID based on the same secret key.

The motivation is that in the limitations described in Claim 1, the electronic seal and the IC already possess all the features described in order to encrypt and decrypt an ID with a secret key (encryptor, decryptor, secret key). However instead of encrypting and decrypting a random value, instead an ID is encrypted and decrypted. Without any modification to the system one of ordinary skill could encrypt the ID sent by the IC card with a public key, and the terminal would subsequently decrypt the ID with the secret key with predictable results.

Claims 17, 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Azuma in view of Schneier further in view of Yu as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Reece.

Regarding Claim 17,

Azuma and Yu teach an electronic seal according to claim 15, wherein Azuma teaches: the secret key memory section stores a plurality of secret keys.

Azuma does not explicitly teach that the secret keys respectively corresponding to a plurality of card company ID numbers, and when the input section inputs a card company ID number, the secret key memory section specifies the secret key corresponding to the input card company ID number among the plurality of secret keys.

Reece (20030150915) teaches a smart card that contains a Card Holder Data unit (Figure 1, 1320) which in turn contains Card Holder company ID number. (*“CH company ID number, Paragraph [0278]”*).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify assigning a secret key per each IC card as taught by Azuma with assigning a secret key corresponding to input card company ID number.

The motivation is that Reece teaches that company ID number is a well known way to represent and identify the Card Holder Data Unit. One of ordinary skill would have been able to modify the system of Azuma to correspond a plurality of secret keys with corresponding card company ID numbers.

Regarding Claim 23,

Azuma and Yu teach an IC card according to claim 20. Azuma does not explicitly teach further comprising a card company ID number memory section for storing a card company ID number, wherein the output section outputs the card company ID number.

Reece (20030150915) teaches a smart card that contains a Card Holder Data unit (Figure 1, 1320) which in turn contains Card Holder company ID number. (*"CH company ID number, Paragraph [0278]"*).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the IC card to comprise a card company ID number memory section for storing a card company ID number.

The motivation is that Reece provides a way of storing a company ID number in an IC card.

Regarding Claim 24,

Azuma and Yu teach an IC card according to claim 20. Azuma does not explicitly teach wherein the prescribed key memory section stores a plurality of prescribed keys respectively corresponding to a plurality of card company ID numbers.

Reece (20030150915) teaches a smart card that contains a Card Holder Data unit (Figure 1, 1320) which in turn contains Card Holder company ID number. (*"CH company ID number, Paragraph [0278]"*).

Because Reece teaches that company ID number is a well known way to represent and identify the Card Holder Data Unit, And Azuma teaches using public/private key pairs to provide authentication, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify assigning a secret key per each IC card as taught by Azuma with assigning a secret key corresponding to input card company ID number.

The motivation is to tie the key to the identity of the card company ID for the purposes of authentication.

. Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HARRIS C. WANG whose telephone number is (571)270-1462. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KAMBIZ ZAND can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Harris C Wang/
Examiner, Art Unit 2439

/Kambiz Zand/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434